My lips are concealed: Audio-visual speech enhancement through obstructions
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Abstract

Our objective is an audio-visual model for separating a single speaker from a mixture of sounds such as other speakers and background noise. Moreover, we wish to hear the speaker even when the visual cues are temporarily absent due to occlusion.

To this end we introduce a deep audio-visual speech enhancement network that is able to separate a speaker’s voice by conditioning on both the speaker’s lip movements and/or a representation of their voice. The voice representation can be obtained by either (i) enrollment, or (ii) by self-enrollment – learning the representation on-the-fly given sufficient unobstructed visual input. The model is trained by blending audios, and by introducing artificial occlusions around the mouth region that prevent the visual modality from dominating.

The method is speaker-independent, and we demonstrate it on real examples of speakers unheard (and unseen) during training. The method also improves over previous models in particular for cases of occlusion in the visual modality.

Index Terms: audio-visual, speech enhancement, speech separation

1. Introduction

While there has been great progress in the field of automatic speech recognition (ASR) in recent years, some key challenges remain, particularly the understanding of speech in very noisy environments or in cases where multiple people speak simultaneously. In this direction, isolating voices in multi-speaker scenarios, increasing the signal-to-noise ratio in noisy audio, or combinations of both are all important tasks.

Until very recently, works in this area have only used the audio modality for the task. However, recent works have shown that the use of video can aid tremendously in solving the problem [1, 2, 3]. These audio-visual models have demonstrated impressive results, but given their dependence on the visual input, they may fail when the mouth area is occluded by the speaker’s hands, a microphone (e.g. Fig. 1), or if the speaker turns their head away. Contemporaneously, it has been shown that an embedding of the speaker’s voice can guide the separation of simultaneous speech [4].

In this paper we propose combining the two approaches, i.e. conditioning on both the video input containing the speaker’s lip movement and an embedding of their voice, in order to make the audio-visual models robust to occlusions. Our assumption is that the video provides invaluable discriminative information when present, while the speaker embedding can help the model when the video is absent due to occlusions. In the simplest case, the voice embedding can be obtained from pre-enrolled audio.

While it is possible to separate simultaneous speakers using only the audio [5, 6], the permutation issue in the time-domain remains an unsolved problem. With our approach, even partially occluded video can provide information on the voice characteristics of the speaker and resolve the ambiguity of assigning the separated voice to the speaker.

We make the following contributions: (i) we show how speaker embedding and visual cues can be combined to separate a single speaker from a mixture of voices despite the visual stream (the lips) being occluded; (ii) we propose a neural network model that can operate with video only, enrollment data only, or both; and (iii) we introduce a recurrent model that can bootstrap the computation of the speaker embedding under temporary occlusions, without requiring a prior speaker embedding. We term this self-enrollment.

1.1. Related Work

Audio-only enhancement and separation. Various methods have been proposed to isolate multi-talker simultaneous speech, the majority of which only use monaural audio, e.g. [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. A number of recent works have addressed the permutation problem to separate unseen speakers. Deep clustering [5] uses embeddings trained to yield a low-rank approximation to an ideal pairwise affinity matrix, whilst Yu et al. employ a permutation invariant-loss [6].

Audio-visual speech enhancement. Prior to the advent of deep learning, numerous works have been developed for audio-visual speech enhancement [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Several recent methods have used a deep learning framework for the same task – most notably [18, 19, 20]. However, these methods are limited in that they are only demonstrated under constrained conditions (e.g. the utterances consist of a fixed set of phrases), or for a small number of known speakers. Our previous work [1] proposed a deep audio-visual speech enhancement network that is able to separate a speaker’s voice given lip regions in the corre-
The architecture of the audio-visual speech enhancement network: There are 2 audio streams. The one processes the incoming noisy audio, while the other takes as input an enrollment audio sample and creates a speaker embedding that captures the speaker’s voice characteristics. A visual stream extracts frame-wise representations from the input video. The visual, speaker and audio embeddings are combined and fed into the BLSTM which outputs a multiplicative mask that filters the noisy spectrograms. When no enrollment audio is provided, the enhanced magnitudes (created by a video-only pass) can be used as the input to the speaker embedding network.

Enhancement by conditioning on voice only. Wang et al. [4] develop a method that separates voices conditioned on prelearned speaker embeddings, showing that voice characteristics alone can be enough to determine the separation. This however relies on a pretrained model and does not use video.

We propose to combine the two ideas: using both visual input and voice embeddings from the target speaker; our method partially builds on [1, 2, 4].

2. Method

This section describes the architecture of the audio-visual speech enhancement network, which is given in Figure 2. The network receives three inputs: (i) the noisy audio to be enhanced; (ii) the corresponding video frames; (iii) a reference audio containing speech from the target speaker. We summarize the principal modules below. Details of the architecture are provided in Table 1.

Video representation. Input to the network is pre-cropped image frames, such as the face crops found in the LRS datasets [21, 22]. Visual features are extracted from the sequence of image frames using a spatiotemporal residual network described in [23]. The network contains a 3D convolution layer, followed by a common 18-layer 2D ResNet [24]. For every video frame it outputs a compact 512 dimensional feature vector.

Audio representation. As acoustic features, we use the magnitude and phase spectrograms extracted from the audio waveform using a Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) with a 25ms window length and a 10ms hop length at a sample rate of 16kHz. This results in spectrograms with a time dimension four times the number of corresponding video frames. We use $T/4$ and $T$ to denote the number of video frames and corresponding time resolution of the spectrograms respectively.

Speaker embedding network. For embedding a reference audio clip into a compact speaker representation, we use the method of Xie et al. [25]. To reduce the number of computations, we replace all 2D spatial convolutions with 1D temporal ones which regard the frequency bins as channels and pre-train the modified architecture on the VoxCeleb2 [26] dataset following [25].

Modality combination. As shown in Figure 2, the noisy magnitude spectrograms are encoded into audio feature vectors through a shallow temporal ResNet. The video features are upsampled through a network containing two transposed convolution layers to match the temporal dimension of the spectrograms ($4T$). The speaker embedding extracted from the reference audio is tiled temporally and added to the resulting video embeddings to form the conditioning vector used for the enhancement. This vector is then fed along with the noisy audio embedding into a one-layer bidirectional LSTM, followed by two fully connected layers. The output has spectrogram dimensions and is passed through a sigmoid activation to produce the enhancement mask.

Phase sub-network. In order to adjust the noisy phases to the enhanced magnitudes, we use the phase network of [1] without any changes.

Self-enrollment. For self-enrollment, the magnitude network is run twice: on the first pass, no speaker embedding is added, only the corresponding video, by predicting both the magnitude and the phase of the target signal. Ephrat et al. [2] designed a network that conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual forms using a Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) with a 25ms window length and a 10ms hop length at a sample rate of 16kHz. This results in spectrograms with a time dimension four times the number of corresponding video frames. We use $T/4$ and $T$ to denote the number of video frames and corresponding time resolution of the spectrograms respectively.

Speaker embedding network. For embedding a reference audio clip into a compact speaker representation, we use the method of Xie et al. [25]. To reduce the number of computations, we replace all 2D spatial convolutions with 1D temporal ones which regard the frequency bins as channels and pre-train the modified architecture on the VoxCeleb2 [26] dataset following [25].

Modality combination. As shown in Figure 2, the noisy magnitude spectrograms are encoded into audio feature vectors through a shallow temporal ResNet. The video features are upsampled through a network containing two transposed convolution layers to match the temporal dimension of the spectrograms ($4T$). The speaker embedding extracted from the reference audio is tiled temporally and added to the resulting video embeddings to form the conditioning vector used for the enhancement. This vector is then fed along with the noisy audio embedding into a one-layer bidirectional LSTM, followed by two fully connected layers. The output has spectrogram dimensions and is passed through a sigmoid activation to produce the enhancement mask.

Phase sub-network. In order to adjust the noisy phases to the enhanced magnitudes, we use the phase network of [1] without any changes.

Self-enrollment. For self-enrollment, the magnitude network is run twice: on the first pass, no speaker embedding is added, only the corresponding video, by predicting both the magnitude and the phase of the target signal. Ephrat et al. [2] designed a network that conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual forms using a Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) with a 25ms window length and a 10ms hop length at a sample rate of 16kHz. This results in spectrograms with a time dimension four times the number of corresponding video frames. We use $T/4$ and $T$ to denote the number of video frames and corresponding time resolution of the spectrograms respectively.

Speaker embedding network. For embedding a reference audio clip into a compact speaker representation, we use the method of Xie et al. [25]. To reduce the number of computations, we replace all 2D spatial convolutions with 1D temporal ones which regard the frequency bins as channels and pre-train the modified architecture on the VoxCeleb2 [26] dataset following [25].

Modality combination. As shown in Figure 2, the noisy magnitude spectrograms are encoded into audio feature vectors through a shallow temporal ResNet. The video features are upsampled through a network containing two transposed convolution layers to match the temporal dimension of the spectrograms ($4T$). The speaker embedding extracted from the reference audio is tiled temporally and added to the resulting video embeddings to form the conditioning vector used for the enhancement. This vector is then fed along with the noisy audio embedding into a one-layer bidirectional LSTM, followed by two fully connected layers. The output has spectrogram dimensions and is passed through a sigmoid activation to produce the enhancement mask.

Phase sub-network. In order to adjust the noisy phases to the enhanced magnitudes, we use the phase network of [1] without any changes.

Self-enrollment. For self-enrollment, the magnitude network is run twice: on the first pass, no speaker embedding is added, only the corresponding video, by predicting both the magnitude and the phase of the target signal. Ephrat et al. [2] designed a network that conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual conditions on the video input of all the source speakers and outputs complex masks, thus also enhancing both magnitude and phase. Owens and Efros [3] train a network on audio-visual forms using a Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) with a 25ms window length and a 10ms hop length at a sample rate of 16kHz. This results in spectrograms with a time dimension four times the number of corresponding video frames. We use $T/4$ and $T$ to denote the number of video frames and corresponding time resolution of the spectrograms respectively.

Speaker embedding network. For embedding a reference audio clip into a compact speaker representation, we use the method of Xie et al. [25]. To reduce the number of computations, we replace all 2D spatial convolutions with 1D temporal ones which regard the frequency bins as channels and pre-train the modified architecture on the VoxCeleb2 [26] dataset following [25].

Modality combination. As shown in Figure 2, the noisy magnitude spectrograms are encoded into audio feature vectors through a shallow temporal ResNet. The video features are upsampled through a network containing two transposed convolution layers to match the temporal dimension of the spectrograms ($4T$). The speaker embedding extracted from the reference audio is tiled temporally and added to the resulting video embeddings to form the conditioning vector used for the enhancement. This vector is then fed along with the noisy audio embedding into a one-layer bidirectional LSTM, followed by two fully connected layers. The output has spectrogram dimensions and is passed through a sigmoid activation to produce the enhancement mask.
For training, we artificially add occlusions to the embedding, as explained in Section 2.

We randomly occlude sub-sequences of 15 to 25 contiguous frames, maintaining the clear-to-occluded frames ratio at 1:3. This is more realistic than simply zeroing out the incoming visual frames, as occluded video frames still produce valid feature vectors. For evaluation however, instead of random patches, we place jutting emojis on the videos as shown in Figure 3b. This type of visual noise has not been seen during training. The emojis are used to occlude the video from the start and the end, while the middle of the utterance is kept clear.

Training. The spatio-temporal visual front-end is pre-trained on a word-level lip reading task [23]. We then freeze the front-end and pre-compute the visual features. The features are extracted on a version of the videos where we have added random occlusions.

Training is conducted in four phases. We first pre-train the magnitude subnetwork only with speaker embedding inputs. For this we first use mixtures of two and then three speakers. Second, the visual modality is added and the magnitude network is trained on the saved visual features for the three simultaneous speakers scenario; third the magnitude network is frozen and the phase network is trained; finally the whole network is trained end-to-end.

4. Experiments

4.1. Evaluation protocol

To evaluate the performance of our model we use the Signal to Distortion Ration (SDR) [28], a common metric expressing the ratio between the energy of the target signal and of the errors contained in the enhanced output. Furthermore to assess the intelligibility of the output, we use the Google Cloud ASR system – we compute the Word Error Rate (WER) between the prediction of the ASR system on the enhanced audio and the ground truth transcriptions of the utterances contained in the segments used for evaluation. We evaluate on fixed length video segments of 8 seconds (200 frames).

4.2. Baseline models

We compare our proposed approach to the following baselines and ablations, which we train and evaluate both with and without visual occlusions.

PIIT. We implement a blind source separation model that uses only the noisy audio input stream of Fig. 2 and is trained with a permutation invariant loss following [6]. This model is tailored to a predefined number of speakers.

V-Conv. This is the convolutional, visually conditioned baseline of Afouras et al. [1]. The model uses a series of 1D convolutional blocks for fusing the audio and video modalities instead of a BLSTM. Moreover, the video features are not upsampled by the video stream as in our proposed model, but the audio-visual fusion is performed at the temporal resolution of the video frames. The 1D convolutional stack then upsamples the fused input to the dimensions of the spectrograms.

V-BLSTM. This model is similar to our proposed architecture but conditions only on video features.

VoiceFilter. This model conditions only on speaker embeddings and is equivalent to the sub-network used during the first stage of the training process. It is essentially a VoiceFilter [4] implementation with a slightly modified architecture, trained on our dataset.

VS. Our proposed architecture, which receives both video and speaker embedding inputs. As discussed in Section 2, we investigate two variants, VS-pre and VS-self, that correspond to the different enrollment methods employed during evaluation.
Table 2: Evaluation of speech enhancement performance on samples from the LRS3 dataset, for 2 and 3 simultaneous speakers. All the samples are 8 seconds long, of which 6 seconds are occluded (3 from either side) when occlusions are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th># Spk.</th>
<th>T. Occ.</th>
<th>Enr.</th>
<th>SDR (dB)</th>
<th>WER (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GT signal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed signal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT [6]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>pre</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoiceFilter [4]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>pre</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No occlusion during evaluation

| V-Conv [1]  | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 12.7    | 9.1     | 24.9   | 33.7   |
| V-Conv [1]  | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 12.9    | 9.3     | 25.0   | 35.1   |
| V-BLSTM     | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 12.9    | 9.7     | 24.3   | 33.5   |
| V-BLSTM     | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 13.0    | 9.5     | 25.3   | 35.7   |
| VS          | ✓     | ✓      | pre  | 12.8    | 9.2     | 26.5   | 38.3   |
| VS          | ✓     | ✓      | self | 12.8    | 9.3     | 26.6   | 40.3   |

80% occlusion during evaluation

| V-Conv [1]  | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 0.8     | -3.0    | 63.0   | 78.0   |
| V-Conv [1]  | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 2.7     | -1.6    | 54.4   | 74.1   |
| V-BLSTM     | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 5.8     | 2.4     | 49.3   | 67.1   |
| V-BLSTM     | ✓     | ✓      | -    | 11.6    | 6.3     | 31.3   | 54.3   |
| VS          | ✓     | ✓      | pre  | 12.1    | 7.3     | 30.7   | 50.0   |
| VS          | ✓     | ✓      | self | 12.2    | 7.2     | 30.3   | 50.3   |

4.3. Results

We summarize the results of our experiments in Table 2. When no occlusions are used, the V-BLSTM model only slightly outperforms V-Conv. When 80% of the visual input frames are occluded, the models that haven’t been trained with occlusions fail. Even when we include occlusions during the training of V-Conv, it cannot deal with the missing visual information, since its receptive field is limited (about 1 second to either side). On the contrary, V-BLSTM uses its memory and learns to deal with local occlusions. Overall however, the proposed VS models that explicitly condition on the expected speaker embedding give the best performance.

The results furthermore verify that both the VoiceFilter and VS-pre model perform well when evaluated using enrollment signals from sources different from the target one, even though they have never been trained in this setting.

The effect of occluding different amounts of the visual input is studied in Fig. 4. The V-BLSTM model that has not been trained on occlusions does not perform well when even small parts of the video input are occluded. When trained with occlusions, V-BLSTM becomes much more resilient, however it still gives bad results for high occlusion percentages and completely fails when the entire video is occluded.

The VS-pre model outperforms V-BLSTM when half or more of the input is occluded and gives similar results for cleaner inputs.

Self-enrollment. For very high occlusion levels, the initial enhanced estimation of VS-self is bad and evidently unable to cap-

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a deep audio-visual speech enhancement network that is able to separate a speaker’s voice by conditioning on both the speaker’s lip movements and/or a representation of their voice. The network is robust to partial occlusions, and the voice representation can be self-enrolled from the unoccluded part of the input when it is not possible to obtain segments for pre-enrollment. The methods are evaluated on the challenging LRS3 dataset, and demonstrate performance that exceeds that of previous state-of-the-art [1] when the video input is partially occluded.
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